

2020-2021

THÈSE

pour le

DIPLÔME D'ÉTAT DE DOCTEUR EN MÉDECINE

Qualification en Santé Publique

Taux d'immigrés, mortalité et territoires, une relation ambiguë ?

The relationship between the Immigrant Rate and Health Status in the General Population in France

PERROT Jeanne

Née le 08/03/1994 à La Rochelle (17)

Sous la direction de Monsieur le Docteur HAMEL-BROZA Jean-François

Membres du jury

Monsieur le Professeur SAULNIER Patrick | Président

Monsieur le Docteur HAMEL-BROZA Jean-François | Directeur

Madame le Docteur BINDER Anne-Marie | Membre

Soutenue publiquement le :
15 octobre 2021

ENGAGEMENT DE NON PLAGIAT

Je, soussignée PERROT Jeanne
déclare être pleinement consciente que le plagiat de documents ou d'une
partie d'un document publiée sur toutes formes de support, y compris l'internet,
constitue une violation des droits d'auteur ainsi qu'une fraude caractérisée.
En conséquence, je m'engage à citer toutes les sources que j'ai utilisées
pour écrire ce rapport ou mémoire.

signé par l'étudiante le **07/07/2021**

LISTE DES ENSEIGNANTS DE LA FACULTÉ DE SANTÉ D'ANGERS

Doyen de la Faculté : Pr Nicolas Lerolle

Vice-Doyen de la Faculté et directeur du département de pharmacie : Pr
Frédéric Lagarce

Directeur du département de médecine : Pr Cédric Annweiler

PROFESSEURS DES UNIVERSITÉS

ABRAHAM Pierre	Physiologie	Médecine
ANNWEILER Cédric	Gériatrie et biologie du vieillissement	Médecine
ASFAR Pierre	Réanimation	Médecine
AUBE Christophe	Radiologie et imagerie médicale	Médecine
AUGUSTO Jean-François	Néphrologie	Médecine
AZZOUZI Abdel Rahmène	Urologie	Médecine
BAUFRETON Christophe	Chirurgie thoracique et cardiovasculaire	Médecine
BELLANGER William	Médecine Générale	Médecine
BENOIT Jean-Pierre	Pharmacotechnie	Pharmacie
BIGOT Pierre	Urologie	Médecine
BONNEAU Dominique	Génétique	Médecine
BOUCHARA Jean-Philippe	Parasitologie et mycologie	Médecine
BOUVARD Béatrice	Rhumatologie	Médecine
BOURSIER Jérôme	Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie	Médecine
BRIET Marie	Pharmacologie	Médecine
CALES Paul	Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie	Médecine
CAMPONE Mario	Cancérologie ; radiothérapie	Médecine
CAROLI-BOSC François-Xavier	Gastroentérologie ; hépatologie	Médecine
CHAPPARD Daniel	Cytologie, embryologie et cytogénétique	Médecine
CONNAN Laurent	Médecine générale	Médecine
COPIN Marie-Christine	Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques	Médecine
COUTANT Régis	Pédiatrie	Médecine
CUSTAUD Marc-Antoine	Physiologie	Médecine
DE BRUX Jean-Louis	Chirurgie thoracique et cardiovasculaire	Médecine
DE CASABIANCA Catherine	Médecine Générale	Médecine
DESCAMPS Philippe	Gynécologie-obstétrique	Médecine
D'ESCATHA Alexis	Médecine et santé au travail	Médecine

DINOMAS Mickaël	Médecine physique et de réadaptation	Médecine
DIQUET Bertrand	Pharmacologie	Médecine
DUBEE Vincent	Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales	Médecine
DUCANCELLE Alexandra	Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière	Médecine
DUVAL Olivier	Chimie thérapeutique	Pharmacie
DUVERGER Philippe	Pédopsychiatrie	Médecine
EVEILLARD Mathieu	Bactériologie-virologie	Pharmacie
FAURE Sébastien	Pharmacologie physiologie	Pharmacie
FOURNIER Henri-Dominique	Anatomie	Médecine
FURBER Alain	Cardiologie	Médecine
GAGNADOUX Frédéric	Pneumologie	Médecine
GARNIER François	Médecine générale	Médecine
GASCOIN Géraldine	Pédiatrie	Médecine
GOHIER Bénédicte	Psychiatrie d'adultes	Médecine
GUARDIOLA Philippe	Hématologie ; transfusion	Médecine
GUILET David	Chimie analytique	Pharmacie
HAMY Antoine	Chirurgie générale	Médecine
HENNI Samir	Médecine Vasculaire	Médecine
HUNAUT-BERGER Mathilde	Hématologie ; transfusion	Médecine
IFRAH Norbert	Hématologie ; transfusion	Médecine
JEANNIN Pascale	Immunologie	Médecine
KEMPF Marie	Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière	Médecine
LACCOURREYE Laurent	Oto-rhino-laryngologie	Médecine
LAGARCE Frédéric	Biopharmacie	Pharmacie
LARCHER Gérald	Biochimie et biologie moléculaires	Pharmacie
LASOCKI Sigismond	Anesthésiologie-réanimation	Médecine
LEGENDRE Guillaume	Gynécologie-obstétrique	Médecine
LEGRAND Erick	Rhumatologie	Médecine
LERMITE Emilie	Chirurgie générale	Médecine
LEROLLE Nicolas	Réanimation	Médecine
LUNEL-FABIANI Françoise	Bactériologie-virologie ; hygiène hospitalière	Médecine
MARCHAIS Véronique	Bactériologie-virologie	Pharmacie
MARTIN Ludovic	Dermato-vénéréologie	Médecine
MAY-PANLOUP Pascale	Biologie et médecine du développement et de la reproduction	Médecine
MENEI Philippe	Neurochirurgie	Médecine
MERCAT Alain	Réanimation	Médecine
PAPON Nicolas	Parasitologie et mycologie médicale	Pharmacie
PASSIRANI Catherine	Chimie générale	Pharmacie
PELLIER Isabelle	Pédiatrie	Médecine
PETIT Audrey	Médecine et Santé au Travail	Médecine
PICQUET Jean	Chirurgie vasculaire ; médecine vasculaire	Médecine
PODEVIN Guillaume	Chirurgie infantile	Médecine
PROCACCIO Vincent	Génétique	Médecine

PRUNIER Delphine	Biochimie et Biologie Moléculaire	Médecine
PRUNIER Fabrice	Cardiologie	Médecine
REYNIER Pascal	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire	Médecine
RICHARD Isabelle	Médecine physique et de réadaptation	Médecine
RICHOME Pascal	Pharmacognosie	Pharmacie
RODIEN Patrice	Endocrinologie, diabète et maladies métaboliques	Médecine
ROQUELAURE Yves	Médecine et santé au travail	Médecine
ROUGE-MAILLART Clotilde	Médecine légale et droit de la santé	Médecine
ROUSSEAU Audrey	Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques	Médecine
ROUSSEAU Pascal	Chirurgie plastique, reconstructrice et esthétique	Médecine
ROUSSELET Marie-Christine	Anatomie et cytologie pathologiques	Médecine
ROY Pierre-Marie	Thérapeutique	Médecine
SAULNIER Patrick	Biophysique et Biostatistiques	Pharmacie
SERAPHIN Denis	Chimie organique	Pharmacie
SCHMIDT Aline	Hématologie ; transfusion	Médecine
TRZEPIZUR Wojciech	Pneumologie	Médecine
UGO Valérie	Hématologie ; transfusion	Médecine
URBAN Thierry	Pneumologie	Médecine
VAN BOGAERT Patrick	Pédiatrie	Médecine
VENIER-JULIENNE Marie- Claire	Pharmacotechnie	Pharmacie
VERNY Christophe	Neurologie	Médecine
WILLOTEAUX Serge	Radiologie et imagerie médicale	Médecine

MAÎTRES DE CONFÉRENCES

ANGOULVANT Cécile	Médecine Générale	Médecine
BAGLIN Isabelle	Chimie thérapeutique	Pharmacie
BASTIAT Guillaume	Biophysique et Biostatistiques	Pharmacie
BEAUVILLAIN Céline	Immunologie	Médecine
BEGUE Cyril	Médecine générale	Médecine
BELIZNA Cristina	Médecine interne	Médecine
BELONCLE François	Réanimation	Médecine
BENOIT Jacqueline	Pharmacologie	Pharmacie
BIERE Loïc	Cardiologie	Médecine
BLANCHET Odile	Hématologie ; transfusion	Médecine
BOISARD Séverine	Chimie analytique	Pharmacie
BRIET Claire	Endocrinologie, Diabète et maladies métaboliques	Médecine
BRIS Céline	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire	Pharmacie
CAPITAIN Olivier	Cancérologie ; radiothérapie	Médecine
CASSEREAU Julien	Neurologie	Médecine
CHEVALIER Sylvie	Biologie cellulaire	Médecine
CLERE Nicolas	Pharmacologie / physiologie	Pharmacie

COLIN Estelle	Génétique	Médecine
DERBRE Séverine	Pharmacognosie	Pharmacie
DESHAYES Caroline	Bactériologie virologie	Pharmacie
FERRE Marc	Biologie moléculaire	Médecine
FORTRAT Jacques-Olivier	Physiologie	Médecine
HAMEL Jean-François	Biostatistiques, informatique médicale	Médicale
HELESBEUX Jean-Jacques	Chimie organique	Pharmacie
HINDRE François	Biophysique	Médecine
JOUSSET-THULLIER Nathalie	Médecine légale et droit de la santé	Médecine
JUDALET-ILLAND Ghislaine	Médecine générale	Médecine
KHIATI Salim	Biochimie et biologie moléculaire	Médecine
KUN-DARBOIS Daniel	Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et stomatologie	Médecine
LACOEUILLE Franck		Pharmacie
LANDREAU Anne	Botanique/ Mycologie	Pharmacie
LEBDAI Souhil	Urologie	Médecine
LEGEAY Samuel	Pharmacocinétique	Pharmacie
LEMEE Jean-Michel	Neurochirurgie	Médecine
LE RAY-RICHOMME Anne- Marie	Pharmacognosie	Pharmacie
LEPELTIER Elise	Chimie générale	Pharmacie
LETOURNEL Franck	Biologie cellulaire	Médecine
LIBOUBAN Hélène	Histologie	Médecine
LUQUE PAZ Damien	Hématologie biologique	Médecine
MABILLEAU Guillaume	Histologie, embryologie et cytogénétique	Médecine
MALLET Sabine	Chimie Analytique	Pharmacie
MAROT Agnès	Parasitologie et mycologie médicale	Pharmacie
MESLIER Nicole	Physiologie	Médecine
MIOT Charline	Immunologie	Médecine
MOUILLIE Jean-Marc	Philosophie	Médecine
NAIL BILLAUD Sandrine	Immunologie	Pharmacie
PAILHORIE Hélène	Bactériologie-virologie	Médecine
PAPON Xavier	Anatomie	Médecine
PASCO-PAPON Anne	Radiologie et imagerie médicale	Médecine
PECH Brigitte	Pharmacotechnie	Pharmacie
PENCHAUD Anne-Laurence	Sociologie	Médecine
PIHET Marc	Parasitologie et mycologie	Médecine
PY Thibaut	Médecine Générale	Médecine
RAMOND-ROQUIN Aline	Médecine Générale	Médecine
RINEAU Emmanuel	Anesthésiologie réanimation	Médecine
RIOU Jérémie	Biostatistiques	Pharmacie
ROGER Emilie	Pharmacotechnie	Pharmacie
SAVARY Camille	Pharmacologie-Toxicologie	Pharmacie
SAVARY Dominique	Médecine d'urgence	Médecine
SCHMITT Françoise	Chirurgie infantile	Médecine
SCHINKOWITZ Andréas	Pharmacognosie	Pharmacie
SPIESSER-ROBELET Laurence	Pharmacie Clinique et Education	Pharmacie
TESSIER-CAZENEUVE Christine	Thérapeutique	
	Médecine Générale	Médecine

TEXIER-LEGENDRE Gaëlle	Médecine Générale	Médecine
VIAULT Guillaume	Chimie organique	Pharmacie

AUTRES ENSEIGNANTS

PRCE

AUTRET Erwan	Anglais	Médecine
BARBEROUSSE Michel	Informatique	Médecine
BRUNOIS-DEBU Isabelle	Anglais	Pharmacie
FISBACH Martine	Anglais	Médecine
O'SULLIVAN Kayleigh	Anglais	Médecine

PAST

CAVAILLON Pascal	Pharmacie Industrielle	Pharmacie
DILÉ Nathalie	Officine	Pharmacie
MOAL Frédéric	Pharmacie clinique	Pharmacie
PAPIN-PUREN Claire	Officine	Pharmacie
POIROUX Laurent	Soins Infirmiers	Médecine

ATER

BOUCHENAKI Hichem	Physiologie	Pharmacie
MESSAOUDI kHALED	Immunologie	Pharmacie
MOUHAJIR Abdelmounaim	Biotechnologie	Pharmacie

PLP

CHIKH Yamina	Economie-gestion	Médecine
--------------	------------------	----------

AHU

IFRAH Amélie	Droit de la Santé	Pharmacie
LEBRETON Vincent	Pharmacotechnie	Pharmacie

Mise à jour 27/08/2020

REMERCIEMENTS

À Monsieur le Professeur Patrick SAULNIER, président du jury, pour avoir accepté de présider mon jury de thèse.

À Monsieur le Docteur Jean-François HAMEL-BROZA, directeur de thèse, pour son aide et son encadrement dans ce travail.

À Madame le Docteur Anne-Marie BINDER, membre du jury, pour avoir accepté de juger mon travail. Merci pour ce stage enrichissant, malgré un troisième confinement.

À Mathieu LEVAILLANT et Antoine LAMER, auteurs de cet article, pour leur aide précieuse.

À ma famille, pour le soutien pendant ces nombreuses années. À Richard, Josiane et Louise, ainsi qu'aux meilleurs grands-parents du monde. Merci à mon chat Gaby également.

De Bordeaux à Angers, merci Fefe, un jour tu finiras ton internat, on sera là. De Bordeaux à Bordeaux, merci Dr Natasha. À Lorenzo, de Freiburg à Angers.

À l'équipe de choc d'Avicenne : Louise (attention le masque), Miette (Dark Juliette). Vive les chansons italiennes et l'HDJ. Merci le COVID pour sept mois riches en événements, dont nous sommes tous sortis vivants. Merci à Carole, D.R. et D.G.

À Monsieur Karlson.

Aux Pink Floyd et à Iron Maiden.

À mon premier co-externe, artiste et personal cook, supportons-nous encore un peu chaque jour.

REMERCIEMENTS

Moi je ne crois pas qu'il y ait de bonne ou de mauvaise situation. Moi, si je devais résumer ma vie aujourd'hui avec vous, je dirais que c'est d'abord des rencontres, des gens qui m'ont tendu la main, peut-être à un moment où je ne pouvais pas, où j'étais seule chez moi. Et c'est assez curieux de se dire que les hasards, les rencontres forment une destinée... Parce que quand on a le goût de la chose, quand on a le goût de la chose bien faite, le beau geste, parfois on ne trouve pas l'interlocuteur en face, je dirais, le miroir qui vous aide à avancer. Alors ce n'est pas mon cas, comme je le disais là, puisque moi au contraire, j'ai pu ; et je dis merci à la vie, je lui dis merci, je chante la vie, je danse la vie... Je ne suis qu'amour ! Et finalement, quand beaucoup de gens aujourd'hui me disent : « Mais comment fais-tu pour avoir cette humanité ? » Eh bien je leur réponds très simplement, je leur dis que c'est ce goût de l'amour, ce goût donc qui m'a poussée en 2012 à me mettre au service de la communauté, à faire le don, le don de soi, mais demain, qui sait, j'entreprendrai peut-être simplement une construction mécanique... »

Libre adaptation d'Edouard Baer

Que trépasse si je faiblis !

Godefroy de Montmirail

Il s'agissait précédemment de savoir si la vie devait avoir un sens pour être vécue. Il apparaît ici au contraire qu'elle sera d'autant mieux vécue qu'elle n'aura pas de sens.

Camus - Le mythe de Sisyphe

Last but not least, des bulles pour les globules !

Guillaume Gallienne

Liste des abréviations

INSEE	Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques <i>French National Institute for Statistical and Economic Studies</i>
IRDES	Institut de recherche et documentation en économie de la santé <i>French Institute for Research and Information in Health Economics</i>
CNAF	Caisse nationale des allocations familiales <i>French National Family Allowances office</i>
HT	Territoire de vie santé – Heath territory

Plan

LISTE DES ABREVIATIONS

RESUME

INTRODUCTION

MÉTHODES

RÉSULTATS

1. Recueil de données

2. Covariables et variables de résultats

3. Analyses statistiques

4. Implication des patients et du public

DISCUSSION ET CONCLUSION

1. Description de la population

2. Analyses univariées

3. Analyses multivariées

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

LISTE DES FIGURES

LISTE DES TABLEAUX

TABLE DES MATIERES

ANNEXES

The Relationship between the Immigrant Rate and Health Status in the General Population in France

Jeanne Perrot ¹, Jean-François Hamel ^{1,2}, Antoine Lamer ³ and Mathieu
Levaillant ^{1,3,*}

- 1 Methodology and Biostatistics Department, Angers University Hospital,
University of Angers,
F-49933 Angers, France.
- 2 UMR_S1085, University of Angers, CHU Angers, University of Rennes,
Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de Recherche en Santé, Environnement et
Travail), F-49000 Angers, France.
- 3 ULR 2694-METRICS: Évaluation des Technologies de Santé et des
Pratiques Médicales, University Lille,
F-59000 Lille, CHU Lille, France.

RÉSUMÉ

Mostly studied at the individual level, the analysis of immigrants' health status at a populational level may provide a different perspective to investigate, including social determinants as part of the explanation of the relationship between them and health status in France. We analyzed freely accessible databases curated by French public bodies. The dependent variables were death rate and mean age at death. Immigrant rate and covariates associated with either of the outcomes were explored in univariate and multivariate models. Linear models were used to explain the mean age at death, whereas tobit models were used to explain the death rate. The immigrant rate varied markedly from one department to another, as did healthcare accessibility, population's age profile, and economic covariates. Considering univariate models, almost all the studied covariates were significantly associated with comes. The immigrant rate was associated with a lower death rate and a lower age at death. In multivariate models, the immigrant rate was no longer associated with age at death but was still negatively associated with the death rate. In France, the departments with a higher proportion of immigrants were those with a lower death rate, possibly because immigrants are attracted to economically thriving areas.

INTRODUCTION

France's immigrant population has almost doubled over the last 70 years with motivation evolving gradually from employment to geopolitical issues, partially due to the economic slowdown [1–3]. As their rate grew and motivations evolved, stakes changed, along with an increase in their unemployment rate. Both of these mutations contributed to deterioration of their health status and consider immigrants' health as a major social and political issue [4–8]. Studied in many countries, including France [4,9–15], immigrants' health is often heterogeneous, but it is almost always worse than the health status of non-immigrants [5,11].

Immigrants can declare less chronic diseases and activity limitations, even after adjustment on socio-economic and demographic characteristics [3]. European studies are congruent and conclude in a worse self-perceived health in immigrant population than in non-immigrant one Sweden and Switzerland. The rate was highlighted as being associated with cardiovascular diseases. Mortality rates appeared almost always higher than the native populations in France, Scotland, Denmark, England, and Wales [5,16]. Among the immigrant population, health status could vary according to country of origin [4]. Immigrants tend to recourse less frequently to general practitioners and specialist physicians [11,17]. This is also suggested to have a more important effect on the immigrants' health and access to healthcare than on the native population [4,11,17–19]. A reduced access to supplementary health insurances and a reduced social integration (including a higher unemployment rate and harder work conditions), along with an underprivileged socio-economic situation, are considered as the main factors explaining the disparities in the health status and healthcare access [3].

The health difference between the immigrant and the native population can finally be explained by immigrants' individual stories, with a long-term effect of the origin country's political, economic, and health conditions, as well as the impact of the host country's socioeconomic conditions [3,4]. The life habits inherited from the origin country but also the new ones acquired in the host country [11], as well as the length of stay in the host country, may contribute to the immigrants' health status [11,20].

Differences in the immigrants' health status can be accentuated by an uneven access to healthcare facilities across a given geographical area [21]. Indeed, the use of healthcare services depends not only on health conditions but also on the distribution of healthcare facilities. Mismatch between the distribution of immigrants and that of healthcare facilities may worsen care provision for this vulnerable population.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the county-level relationship between the proportion of immigrants and health status, while taking account of several socio-economic and health determinants as possible confounding variables. To this end, we analyzed freely accessible data provided by French national public bodies. The death rate and the mean age at death were analyzed as proxies for health status.

MÉTHODES

2.1. Data Collection

We analyzed sociodemographic and economic data for 96 French “departments”—an approximately county-level geographic scale (i.e., between cities and regions). The five French overseas departments were not included in the analysis because they differ markedly from mainland departments with regard to the population and legislation.

Data were obtained from freely accessible databases curated by (i) the French National Institute for Statistical and Economic Studies (INSEE [22,23], corresponding to the French population census, indicating exhaustively and at the municipality scale the socio-demographic characteristics of the French population, e.g., number of inhabitants, age, sex ratio, economic status, percentage of population being born abroad with a nationality other than French), (ii) the French Institute for Research and Information in Health Economics (IRDES [21], characterizing, at the life-territory level—a supra-municipal scale—the type of access to healthcare for the population), and (iii) the French National Family Allowances Office (CNAF [24], reporting exhaustively, and, at the municipality scale, the part of the population receiving income support).

2.2. Covariates and Outcome Variables

For each department, we considered the death rate and the mean age at death for the dependent variables. As independent variables, we considered the number of inhabitants [22], the mean age [22], the proportion of males, the proportion of the population receiving income support [24], the poverty rate (the

proportion of households with an income below 60% of the median national income [23]), the share of households subject to income tax [23], the Gini index of economic inequality, and the immigrant rate (defined here as the number of immigrants as a proportion of the total population). Immigrant status was defined as being born abroad with a nationality other than French [1].

In order to assess the distribution of health facilities and access to care in each department, we used the IRDES “health territory” (HT) classification published in 2019 by Chevillard et al. [21]: HT1: peri-urban areas with a poor level of access to healthcare; HT2: rural and unattractive areas with vulnerable populations; HT3: retirement and tourism areas that are well endowed with healthcare services; HT4: disadvantaged urban or rural areas with dedicated governmental socio-economic and health programs; HT5: socio-economically heterogeneous city centers that are well endowed with healthcare services; HT6: wealthy cities and peri-urban areas. Given that Chevillard et al.’s classification was built on the municipality scale, we aggregated the data and considered all the inhabitants in each department living in each of the 6 types of area.

The study outcomes were immigrants’ death rate (the number of deaths as a percentage of the inhabitants [25]) and their mean age at death.

2.3. Statistical Analyses

The different databases were merged and collapsed at the “department” level by weighting each information collected at the municipal level by the number of inhabitants of this city.

Quantitative variables were quoted as the mean (standard deviation) and were compared using *t*-tests. Factors associated with the death rate and the

mean age at death were explored in univariate and multivariate models. Linear models were used to explain the mean age at death, whereas tobit models were used to explain the death rate (as a bounded continuous variable that cannot be lower than 0% or higher than 100%). No variable selection process was used when performing multivariate models to avoid any overfitting issue. All the considered independent covariables were included in these models.

The models' validity was assessed by analyzing the distribution of the residuals. All tests were two-sided, and the threshold for statistical significance was set to $p < 0.05$. All analyses were performed using Stata software (release 14, StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA).

2.4. Patient and Public Involvement

Patients or members of the public had no involvement in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of the research.

RÉSULTATS

3.1. Description of the Population

The characteristics of the departments are summarized in Table 1. The mean number of inhabitants per department was 700,000 (range: 76,600 to 2,604,000), and the proportion of males was fairly uniform (range: 47.0% to 49.8%). The inhabitants' mean age varied from one department to another (range: 35 to 48), as did the proportion of immigrants (range: 2% to 30%) and the proportion of inhabitants receiving income support (range: 1% to 10%). The poverty rate ranged from 9% to 28%, the share of households liable for income tax ranged from 40% to 70%, and the Gini index ranged from 0.25 to 0.50.

Table I. Characteristics of the French departments. Variables are quoted for the departments as a whole and as a function of the dichotomized death rates and mean age at death per department. Continuous variables are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD, and categorical variables are expressed as a percentage. The types of “health territory” are described in the Methods section.

Variables	Death Rate				Mean Age at Death		
	All Departements (96)	Departments with a Death Rate < 1% (44)	Departments with a Death Rate $\geq$ 1% (52)	<i>p</i> -Value	Departments with Mean Age at Death < 80 yo (45)	Departments with Mean Age at Death $\geq$ 80 yo (51)	<i>p</i> -Value
Inhabitants	673,324.30 $\pm$ 515,271.96	941,296.84 $\pm$ 607,946.84	446,578.31 $\pm$ 261,859.78	0	970,599.96 $\pm$ 564,689.75	411,022.25 $\pm$ 272,279.92	0
Living men percentage	48.5 $\pm$ 0.49	48.6 $\pm$ 0.49	48.4 $\pm$ 0.47	0.041	48.5 $\pm$ 0.51	48.5 $\pm$ 0.47	0.8624
Mean age	42 $\pm$ 2.62	41 $\pm$ 2.04	44 $\pm$ 1.89	0	41 $\pm$ 1.85	44 $\pm$ 1.90	0
Proportion of immigrants	7.80 $\pm$ 4.57	9.93 $\pm$ 5.57	6.00 $\pm$ 2.37	0	9.37 $\pm$ 5.68	6.42 $\pm$ 2.68	0.0013
Proportion of family allowance beneficiaries (%)	17.94 $\pm$ 1.91	18.20 $\pm$ 2.25	17.72 $\pm$ 1.55	0.2239	18.93 $\pm$ 1.93	17.06 $\pm$ 1.41	0
Poverty rate	14.42 $\pm$ 3.03	14.23 $\pm$ 3.68	14.59 $\pm$ 2.36	0.5654	14.47 $\pm$ 3.61	14.38 $\pm$ 2.44	0.8869
Median income (k €)	20.7 $\pm$ 2.04	21.546 $\pm$ 2.627	19.985 $\pm$ 0.891	0.0001	21.402 $\pm$ 2.431	20.0816 $\pm$ 1.368	0.0013
Gini index	0.34 $\pm$ 0.03	0.35 $\pm$ 0.04	0.33 $\pm$ 0.02	0.0006	0.35 $\pm$ 0.04	0.33 $\pm$ 0.02	0.0001
Proportion of farmers	1.24 $\pm$ 0.96	0.77 $\pm$ 0.65	1.64 $\pm$ 1.01	0	0.69 $\pm$ 0.49	1.74 $\pm$ 1.01	0
Proportion of craftsmen and company managers	3.69 $\pm$ 0.75	3.52 $\pm$ 0.76	3.83 $\pm$ 0.72	0.0471	3.32 $\pm$ 0.64	4.01 $\pm$ 0.69	0
Proportion of executives	7.32 $\pm$ 4.02	9.21 $\pm$ 5.18	5.71 $\pm$ 1.34	0	9.14 $\pm$ 4.81	5.71 $\pm$ 2.19	0
Proportion of white-collar workers	16.07 $\pm$ 1.16	16.36 $\pm$ 1.47	15.83 $\pm$ 0.73	0.0266	16.38 $\pm$ 1.32	15.81 $\pm$ 0.92	0.0147

Proportion of blue-collar workers	12.98 ± 2.55	12.89 ± 3.13	13.06 ± 1.97	0.7401	12.84 ± 2.85	13.11 ± 2.29	0.5969
Unemployment rate	15.40 ± 2.49	16.59 ± 2.65	14.40 ± 1.83	0	17.06 ± 2.22	13.95 ± 1.66	0
Peri-urban areas * (%)	21.57 ± 16.98	24.62 ± 18.68	19.00 ± 15.10	0.1064	23.03 ± 16.50	20.29 ± 17.45	0.4325
Rural, unattractive areas * (%)	24.37 ± 25.03	13.28 ± 19.05	33.76 ± 25.79	0	11.73 ± 14.25	35.53 ± 27.22	0
Retirement & tourism areas \$ (%)	10.14 ± 19.88	6.49 ± 17.11	13.22 ± 21.64	0.099	5.29 ± 12.49	14.41 ± 23.95	0.024
Disadvantaged areas with dedicated governmental health programs * (%)	10.24 ± 16.37	10.51 ± 17.88	10.01 ± 15.15	0.8819	13.66 ± 20.25	7.22 ± 11.33	0.0538
Heterogeneous city centers \$ (%)	24.27 ± 18.55	28.46 ± 21.20	20.72 ± 15.28	0.041	30.76 ± 20.31	18.54 ± 14.80	0.001
Wealthy cities and peri-urban areas (%)	9.42 ± 16.65	16.64 ± 21.90	3.30 ± 5.38	0.0001	15.54 ± 20.12	4.02 ± 10.36	0.0005
Death rate	1.01 ± 0.20	0.84 ± 0.14	1.15 ± 0.12	0	0.90 ± 0.17	1.10 ± 0.17	0
Mean age at death	80.03 ± 1.69	79.20 ± 1.60	80.73 ± 1.44	0	78.61 ± 1.22	81.28 ± 0.86	0

*: poor access to healthcare services; \$: easy access to healthcare services.

The prevalence of the various types of health territory varied markedly from one department to another (Figure 1). Some departments are 90% rural, others correspond predominately to retirement and tourism areas, and yet others correspond to socio-economically heterogeneous cities that are well endowed with healthcare services.




Figure 1. Access to healthcare facilities by department, according to Chevillard et al.'s classification. The color of each department is based on the most prevalent type of health territory. The color intensity (light/dark) corresponds to the proportion of inhabitants living in the most prevalent type of health territory.

In the western departments, for example, the most prevalent type of health territory is the city center (which is well endowed with healthcare services), and between 20–40% of the inhabitants live in this type of health territory.

3.2. Univariate Analyses

When considering univariate analyses, the number of inhabitants, the population's mean age, the percentage of households liable for income tax, the Gini index, and specific health areas were associated with the death rate and the mean age at death. The percentage of family allowance beneficiaries was only associated with mean age at death. A higher immigrant rate was significantly associated with both a lower death rate and lower mean age at death.

3.3. Multivariate Analysis

The covariates considered in our analyses were highly correlated (Figure 2), which suggests that many were confounding variables. The death rate was significantly and positively associated with the mean age of the population, the proportion of inhabitants receiving income support, the proportion of rural health areas, and the proportion of socio-economically heterogeneous urban areas. The immigrant rate was also significantly and negatively associated with the death rate (Table 2)—albeit with a two-fold reduction in the effect size, relative to the univariate analyses (Figure 3).

Table II. The death rate, as a function of selected variables. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed with a tobit model. The types of “health territory” are described in the Methods section. Although the intercepts were estimated for each model, they are not presented in the table for the sake of clarity.

Variables	Coefficient	Univariate Analysis			Coefficient	Multivariate Analysis		
		95% CI		p-Value		95% CI		p-Value
Inhabitants	-2.36×10^{-7}	-2.97×10^{-7}	-1.75×10^{-7}	0.000	-4.06×10^{-8}	-1.01×10^{-7}	2.00×10^{-8}	0.186
Percentage males	-0.069	-0.149	0.011	0.093	-0.035	-0.086	0.017	0.184
Mean age	0.066	0.059	0.074	0.000	0.054	0.037	0.070	0.000
Immigrant rate	-0.027	-0.034	-0.020	0.000	-0.013	-0.022	-0.003	0.009
Proportion of family allowance beneficiaries (%)	-0.017	-0.066	0.033	0.509	0.048	0.006	0.089	0.025
Poverty rate	0.007	-0.006	0.020	0.271	-0.012	-0.032	0.008	0.232
Percentage of households liable for income tax	-0.021	-0.026	-0.016	0.000	-0.002	-0.011	0.007	0.639
Gini Index	-2.669	-3.828	-1.510	0.000	0.860	-0.384	2.103	0.173
Peri-urban areas * (%)	-0.003	-0.005	0.000	0.018	-	-	-	-
Rural, unattractive areas * (%)	0.005	0.004	0.006	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.032
Retirement and tourism areas § (%)	0.001	-0.001	0.003	0.193	0.000	-0.002	0.001	0.758
Disadvantaged areas with dedicated governmental health programs * (%)	0.000	-0.002	0.003	0.860	0.001	-0.001	0.003	0.370
Heterogeneous city centers § (%)	-0.003	-0.005	-0.001	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.005	0.003
Wealthy cities and peri-urban areas § (%)	-0.007	-0.009	-0.005	0.000	0.000	-0.002	0.003	0.695

*: poor access to healthcare services; §: easy access to healthcare services.




Figure 2. Correlation matrix.

In a multivariate analysis, the mean age at death was significantly associated with the mean age of the population, the proportion of inhabitants receiving income support, and the Gini index but not the immigrant rate (Table 3).

The correlation between the studied variables is represented graphically in Figure 2.

Income support: proportion of the population receiving income support.
Income tax: proportion of households liable for income tax. For the definition of the health areas HT1 to HT6, please refer to Section 2.

Table III. Mean age at death, as a function of selected variables. Univariate and multivariate analysis were performed with linear regression. The types of “health territory” are described in the Methods section. Although the intercepts were estimated for each model, they are not presented in the table for the sake of clarity.

Variable	Univariate Analysis				Multivariate Analysis (adj. R ² = 0.7594)			
	Coefficient	95% CI		p-Value	Coefficient	95% CI		p-Value
Inhabitants	-2.27×10^{-6}	-2.75×10^{-6}	-1.79×10^{-6}	0.000	2.32×10^{-7}	-4.54×10^{-7}	9.18×10^{-7}	0.503
Percentage males	0.656	-0.032	1.343	0.062	0.235	-0.349	0.818	0.426
Mean age	0.504	0.423	0.586	0.000	0.444	0.256	0.633	0.000
Immigrant rate	-0.173	-0.239	-0.106	0.000	0.106	-0.002	0.213	0.053
Proportion of family allowance beneficiaries (%)	-0.882	-1.271	-0.493	0.000	-0.520	-0.992	-0.048	0.031
Poverty rate	-0.058	-0.171	0.054	0.311	0.102	-0.122	0.326	0.369
Percentage of households liable for income tax	-0.140	-0.187	-0.094	0.000	-0.030	-0.127	0.067	0.543
Gini index	-33.765	-42.391	-25.138	0.000	-25.437	-39.519	-11.354	0.001
Peri-urban areas * (%)	0.000	-0.020	0.020	0.978	-	-	-	-
Rural, unattractive areas * (%)	0.039	0.028	0.050	0.000	-0.009	-0.026	0.009	0.326
Retirement and tourism areas \$ (%)	0.021	0.004	0.038	0.014	-0.001	-0.019	0.017	0.921
Disadvantaged areas with dedicated governmental health programs * (%)	-0.027	-0.047	-0.007	0.008	-0.016	-0.037	0.006	0.155
Heterogeneous city centers \$ (%)	-0.032	-0.050	-0.015	0.000	-0.007	-0.028	0.015	0.532
Wealthy cities and peri-urban areas \$ (%)	-0.051	-0.069	-0.033	0.000	-0.001	-0.028	0.027	0.955

*: poor access to healthcare services; \$: easy access to healthcare services.




Figure 3. The covariates significantly associated with the two main study outcomes (multivariate analysis). The color intensity represents the four different quartiles of the variable in each French department, in the multivariate analysis.

DISCUSSION

In the present study of the relationship between the immigrant rate and health status in France, we found that several variables differed markedly from one department to another: the immigrant rate, the proportion of income support beneficiaries, the poverty rate, the proportion of households liable for income tax, and the Gini index. These observations were in line with previous studies and showed that the immigrant rate was higher in large urban areas [1]. The degree of inequality was associated with a higher death rate and a lower mean age at death. Likewise, a high proportion of inhabitants receiving income support was associated with a low mean age at death. These relationships have been described previously and are independent of the mean income level [26]. Similarly, income inequality is associated with the mean life expectancy [27,28]. It has been reported that differences in income and socioeconomic status respectively account for 42.5% and 16% of the differences in self-assessed health between immigrants and natives [3].

The relationship between the immigrant rate and the death rate was unexpected: even when adjusting for confounders, a higher proportion of immigrants in a given department was associated with a lower death rate. This phenomenon can be explained either by a heterogeneous distribution of immigrants across France or better health status among immigrants than among natives, i.e., a “healthy immigrant effect” or “immigrant paradox” [15,20,29]. The latter is supposedly due to selective immigration [13], better life habits [9], or a “salmon bias” in which older or sick immigrants return to their country of origin and, thus, leave younger, healthier immigrants in the host country [7,12]. In fact, several studies have found that health status is worse for immigrants

than for natives. In some cases, this difference is no longer statistically significant after adjustment for socioeconomic conditions [3]. Nevertheless, comparisons of literature data are complicated by interstudy differences in population and territorial characteristics. In order to provide a wise comparison to this study results, the same method may be applied in different countries to be able to identify a French effect more than an immigrant effect. Furthermore, inferences about individual characteristics should not be deduced from inferences about the group to which those individuals belong [30]. Conversely, the risk of creating an ecological fallacy is high if territory heterogeneity is not taken into account [31]. Several territory covariates (such as income and access to health facilities) were considered in the present study. As the French areas with the highest immigrant rates are those with lowest death rates, French policies should be adapted: specific policies may be needed toward immigrant population in territory that are not the one usually targeted by common programs.

As mentioned above, Chevillard et al. characterized French areas with regard to their access to primary healthcare facilities. This classification is based on 32 selected indicators and facilitates the assessment of measures designed to attract and retain general practitioners in underserved areas. The inclusion of this classification in our multivariate analysis enabled us to mitigate the well-known effect of lower recourse to care [32], which is known to be due (at least in part) to a specific interaction between healthcare workers and immigrants [33]. Even after adjusting for access to primary healthcare facilities in the departments, we still found an association between the immigrant rate and the death rate.

The health areas studied here are heterogeneous; several departments are almost exclusively composed of rural and unattractive areas with vulnerable populations, others contain large retirement areas, and yet others encompass wealthy urban and peri-urban areas that are well endowed with healthcare services. This illustrates the uneven access to healthcare in France and suggests that the areas with the lowest level of access are not those with the highest immigrant rate.

The present study has several limitations. First, our definition of immigration was that used by INSEE (one of the database curators). All people born abroad as a foreigner were therefore considered to be immigrants—even if they had been granted French citizenship since their arrival. Secondly, we did not have information on the immigrants' length of stay in France. This may have introduced bias because according to Pérez et al., immigrants tend to acquire the same health status as natives after several years in the host country [34,35]. Managing to include the immigrant time of stay on the host country may help to understand the relationship found. It may also be a useful indicator to evaluate the policies implemented by France to integrate immigrant population either in their health system but also along all the sociodemographic conditions explored. Thirdly, the French legislation prevented us from studying the impact of the immigrants' ethnicity, which can be associated with variations in health status. Fourthly, we did not investigate socioprofessional categories, even though the Whitehall studies performed by Marmot et al. highlighted a social gradient [3,8,27]: the all-cause death rate was lower in people occupying the highest socioprofessional positions. However, the poverty rate could be considered as a proxy for the social rank in our adjusted model, which would bring our results

into line with Marmot et al.'s findings. Lastly, several covariates were highly correlated with each one, this might have created collinearity. However, all of our models' r-square coefficients were greater than 0.8—meaning that most of the variability in the endpoint was explained by the covariates considered and suggesting all the major covariates explaining the death rate or the age at death were included in our analyses.

CONCLUSION

Even though the relationship between immigration and health status is well known at the individual level, it warrants further investigation at the population level. The territorial distribution of immigrants is closely related to socio-economic and health characteristics. Despite the precarious health conditions of many immigrants, the French areas with the highest immigrant rates are those with lowest death rates. Such results may be useful to adapt health programs toward immigrant population across French territory.

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

1. INSEE Immigrés, Étrangers. Available online: <https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/3633212> (accessed on 5 November 2020).
2. Gouvernement.fr. La France à L'action face à la Vague Migratoire. Available online: <https://www.gouvernement.fr/la-france-a-l-action-face-a-la-crise-migratoire-2817> (accessed on 5 November 2020).
3. Berchet, C.; Jusot, F. Immigrants' Health Status and Use of Healthcare Services: A Review of French Research. *Questions d'économie de la santé (Institute for Research and Information in Health Economics)*, No 172, 2012. Available online: <https://www.irdes.fr/EspaceAnglais/Publications/IrdesPublications/QES172.pdf> (accessed on 5 November 2020).
4. Jusot, F.; Silva, J.; Dourgnon, P.; Sermet, C. Inégalités de santé liées à l'immigration en France: Effet des conditions de vie ou sélection à la migration? *Rev. Économique* **2009**, 60, 385.
5. Solé-Auró, A.; Crimmins, E.M. Health of Immigrants in European Countries. *Int. Migr. Rev.* **2008**, 42, 861–876, doi:10.1111/j.1747-7379.x.
6. Berchet, C.; Jusot, F. Inégalités de santé liées à l'immigration et capital social: Une analyse en décomposition. *Économie PubliquePublic Econ.* **2012**, 73–100, doi:10.4000/economiepublique.8484.
7. Berchet, C.; Jusot, F. L'état de santé des migrants de première et de seconde génération en France: Une analyse selon le genre et l'origine. *Rev. Économique* **2010**, 61, 1075.
8. Marmot, M.G.; Stansfeld, S.; Patel, C.; North, F.; Head, J.; White, I.; Brunner, E.; Feeney, A.; Marmot, M.G.; Smith, G.D. Health inequalities among British civil servants: The Whitehall II study. *Lancet* **1991**, 337, 1387–1393.
9. Darmon, N.; Khlat, M. An overview of the health status of migrants in France, in relation to their dietary practices. *Public Health Nutr.* **2001**, 4, 163–172.
10. Khlat, M.; Darmon, N. Is there a Mediterranean migrants mortality paradox in Europe? *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2003**, 32, 1115–1118.

11. Attias-Donfut, C.; Tessier, P. Santé et vieillissement des immigrés. *Retraite Société* **2005**, 46, 89–129.
12. Attias-Donfut, C.; Wolff, F.-C. Le lieu D'enterrement des Personnes Nées hors de France. *Population* **2005**, 60, 813–836, doi:10.3917/popu.505.0813.
13. Rubalcava, L.N.; Teruel, G.M.; Thomas, D.; Goldman, N. The Healthy Migrant Effect: New Findings from the Mexican Family Life Survey. *Am. J. Public Health* **2008**, 98, 78–84.
14. Kennedy, S.; McDonald, J.T.; Biddle, N. The healthy immigrant effect and immigrant selection: Evidence from four countries. *Soc. Econ. Dimens. Aging Popul.* **2006**.
15. Razum, O.; Twardella, D. Time travel with Oliver Twist—Towards an explanation for a paradoxically low mortality among recent immigrants. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **2002**, 7, 4–10.
16. Bhopal, R.S.; Rafnsson, S.B.; Agyemang, C.; Fagot-Campagna, A.; Giampaoli, S.; Hammar, N.; Harding, S.; Hedlund, E.; Juel, K.; Mackenbach, J.P.; et al. Mortality from circulatory diseases by specific country of birth across six European countries: Test of concept. *Eur. J. Public Health* **2012**, 22, 353–359.
17. Dourgnon, P.; Jusot, F.; Sermet, C.; Silva, J. Le Recours aux Soins de Ville des Immigrés en France. *Quest. d'économie de la Santé* **2009**.
18. Dunn, J.R.; Dyck, I. Social determinants of health in Canada's immigrant population: Results from the National Population Health Survey. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2000**, 51, 1573–1593.
19. Perronnin, M.; Pierre, A.; Rochereau, T. Complementary Health Insurance in France: Wide-Scale Diffusion but Inequalities of Access Persist. *Quest. d'économie de la Santé* **2011**.
20. Moullan, Y.; Jusot, F. Why is the "healthy immigrant effect" different between European countries? *Eur. J. Public Health* **2014**, 24, 80–86.
21. Chevillard, G.; Mousquès, J. Accessibilité aux soins et attractivité territoriale : Proposition d'une typologie des territoires de vie français. *Cybergeo* **2018**, doi:10.4000/cybergeo.29737.
22. INSEE Population en 2017. Available online: <https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/4515539?sommaire=4516122> (accessed on 15 September 2020).

23. INSEE Principaux Indicateurs sur les Revenus et la Pauvreté aux Niveaux National et local—Principaux Résultats sur les Revenus et la Pauvreté des Ménages en 2017. Available online: <https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/4507225?som-maire=4507229#consulter-sommaire> (accessed on 5 November 2020).
24. Cafdata Foyers Allocataires Percevant le Revenu de Solidarité Active (RSA)—par Commune. Available online: <http://data.caf.fr/dataset/foyers-allocataires-percevant-le-revenu-de-solidarite-active-rsa-par-commune> (accessed on 5 November 2020).
25. INSEE Fichier des Personnes Décédées Depuis 1970. Available online: <https://www.insee.fr/fr/information/4190491> (accessed on 5 November 2020).
26. Preston, S.H. The changing relation between mortality and level of economic development. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2007**, *36*, 484–490.
27. Wilkinson, R.; Pickett, K. *The Spirit Level: Why Equality is Better for Everyone*; Penguin: London, UK, 2010. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256463915_R_Wilkinson_and_K_Pickett_2010_The_Spirit_Level_Why_Equality_is_Better_for_Everyone_London_Penguin_999_pp_347_pbk (accessed on 2 October 2020).
28. De Maio, F.G. Immigration as pathogenic: A systematic review of the health of immigrants to Canada. *Int. J. Equity Health* **2010**, *9*, 27.
29. Robinson, W.S. Ecological Correlations and the Behavior of Individuals. *Am. Sociol. Rev.* **1950**, *15*, 351.
30. Subramanian, S.V.; Jones, K.; Kaddour, A.; Krieger, N. Revisiting Robinson: The perils of individualistic and ecologic fallacy. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **2009**, *38*, 342–360.
31. Balsa, A.I.; McGuire, T.G. Prejudice, clinical uncertainty and stereotyping as sources of health disparities. *J. Health Econ.* **2003**, *22*, 89–116.
32. Carde, E. Les discriminations selon l’origine dans l’accès aux soins. *St. Publique* **2007**, *19*, 99–109.
33. Pérez, C. Health status and health behaviour among immigrants. *Stat. Can. Suppl. Health Rep.* **2002**, *13*, 89–100.

34. Syse, A.; Dzamarija, M.T.; Kumar, B.N.; Diaz, E. An observational study of immigrant mortality differences in Norway by reason for migration, length of stay and characteristics of sending countries. *BMC Public Health* **2018**, *18*, 508.
35. Aldridge, R.W.; Nellums, L.B.; Bartlett, S.; Barr, A.L.; Patel, P.; Burns, R.; Hargreaves, S.; Miranda, J.J.; Tollman, S.; Friedland, J.S.; et al. Global patterns of mortality in international migrants: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* **2018**, *392*, 2553–2566.

LISTE DES FIGURES

Figure 1: The color of each department is based on the most prevalent type of health territory. The color intensity (light/dark) corresponds to the proportion of inhabitants living in the most prevalent type of health territory 11

Figure 2: Correlation matrix..... 14

Figure 3: The covariates significantly associated with the two main study outcomes (multivariate analysis). The color intensity represents the four different quartiles of the variable in each French department, in the multivariate analysis. 16

LISTE DES TABLEAUX

Tableau I 9

Tableau II 13

Tableau III 15

TABLE DES MATIERES

LISTE DES ABREVIATIONS	IX
RESUME	2
INTRODUCTION	3
MÉTHODES	5
1. Recueil de données	5
2. Covariables et variables de résultats	5
3. Analyses statistiques	6
4. Implication des patients et du public	7
RÉSULTATS	8
1. Description de la population	8
2. Analyses univariées	12
2. Analyses multivariées	12
DISCUSSION ET CONCLUSION	17
BIBLIOGRAPHIE	21
LISTE DES FIGURES	25
LISTE DES TABLEAUX	26
TABLE DES MATIERES	27

Taux d'immigrés, mortalité et territoires, une relation ambiguë ?

RÉSUMÉ

Introduction

Principalement étudié au niveau individuel, l'analyse de l'état de santé des immigrés au niveau populationnel pourrait fournir une approche différente, incluant les déterminants sociaux en tant que facteur participant à l'explication de la relation entre l'état de santé en France et celui des immigrés.

Matériel et méthodes

Nous avons analysé des bases de données accessibles librement, fournies par des organismes publics Français. Les variables dépendantes étaient le taux de mortalité et l'âge moyen au décès. Le taux d'immigrés et les covariables associées à une des deux variables dépendantes ont été analysées dans des modèles univariés et multivariés. Des modèles linéaires ont été utilisés pour expliquer l'âge moyen au décès, alors que des modèles tobit ont été utilisés pour expliquer le taux de mortalité.

Résultats

Le taux d'immigrés variait nettement d'un département à un autre, ainsi que l'accès aux structures de soins, le profil d'âge de la population et les covariables économiques. Dans les modèles univariés, presque toutes les covariables étudiées étaient significativement associées avec les variables dépendantes. Le taux d'immigrés était significativement associé avec un taux de mortalité et un âge moyen au décès plus bas. Dans les modèles multivariés, le taux d'immigrés n'était plus associé de façon significative avec l'âge moyen au décès mais était toujours associé négativement, de façon significative, au taux de mortalité.

Conclusion

En France, les départements avec un taux plus élevé d'immigrés étaient ceux avec un taux de mortalité plus faible, possiblement parce que les immigrés seraient plus attirés par les régions prospères.

Mots-clés: Inégalités de santé ; Pauvreté ; Déterminants de santé ; Immigrés

The Relationship between the Immigrant Rate and Health Status in the General Population in France

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Mostly studied at the individual level, the analysis of immigrants' health status at a population level may provide a different perspective to investigate, including social determinants as part of the explanation of the relationship between them and health status in France.

Methods

We analyzed freely accessible databases curated by French public bodies. The dependent variables were death rate and mean age at death. Immigrant rate and covariates associated with either of the outcomes were explored in univariate and multivariate models. Linear models were used to explain the mean age at death, whereas tobit models were used to explain the death rate.

Results

The immigrant rate varied markedly from one department to another, as did healthcare accessibility, population's age profile, and economic covariates. Considering univariate models, almost all the studied covariates were significantly associated with outcomes. The immigrant rate was associated with a lower death rate and a lower age at death. In multivariate models, the immigrant rate was no longer associated with age at death but was still negatively associated with the death rate.

Conclusion

In France, the departments with a higher proportion of immigrants were those with a lower death rate, possibly because immigrants are attracted to economically thriving areas.

Keywords: Health inequality; Poverty; Health determinants; Immigrant